

# Amendment Tool

v1.6 06 December 2021

For office use

QC: No

## Section 1: Project information

Short project title*:	Experience of care for women with perinatal OCD			
IRAS project ID* (or REC reference if no IRAS project ID is available):	317220			
Sponsor amendment reference number*:	Minor Amendment 2			
Sponsor amendment date* (enter as DD/MM/YY):	20 December 2024			
Briefly summarise in lay language the main changes proposed in this amendment. Explain the purpose of the changes and their significance for the study. If the amendment significantly alters the research design or methodology, or could otherwise affect the scientific value of the study, supporting scientific information should be given (or enclosed separately). Indicate whether or not additional scientific critique has been obtained (note: this field will adapt to the amount of text entered)*:	<p>Currently, the inclusion criteria specify that participants must have given birth within the past two years. This was an arbitrary cut-off to reflect a relatively recent time to the birth experience and the research team discussed at the time whether or not it should be 2 or 3 years. Since then, I have been approached by people who are just over the two-year mark by a month or so who would like to be included. As this is a relatively small population of seldom heard people, my supervisors and I think it would be beneficial to my research project to extend the inclusion criteria to three years so we can capture who gave birth within three years of birth. From my MSc research (reported in Burton, H. A. L. (2020). How women with established obsessive compulsive disorder experience pregnancy and postpartum: an interpretative phenomenological analysis. <i>Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology</i>, 39(3), 313–325. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/02646838.2020.1718628">https://doi.org/10.1080/02646838.2020.1718628</a>) I had a cut-off of three years and there was no indication that there was any difference between women who had given birth three years prior to those two years prior.</p>			
Project type (select):	<b>Specific study</b>			
	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <span>Research tissue bank</span> <span>Research database</span> </div>			
Has the study been reviewed by a UKECA-recognised Research Ethics Committee (REC) prior to this amendment?:	<b>Yes</b>	No		
What type of UKECA-recognised Research Ethics Committee (REC) review is applicable? (select):	<b>NHS/HSC REC</b>			
	Ministry of Defence (MoDREC)			
Is all or part of this amendment being resubmitted to the Research Ethics Committee (REC) as a <b>modified amendment</b> (i.e. a substantial amendment previously given an unfavourable opinion)?	Yes		<b>No</b>	
Where is the NHS/HSC Research Ethics Committee (REC) that reviewed the study based?:	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland
	No	No	<b>Yes</b>	No
Was the study a clinical trial of an investigational medicinal product (CTIMP) OR does the amendment make it one?:	Yes		<b>No</b>	
Was the study a clinical investigation or other study of a medical device OR does the amendment make it one?:	Yes		<b>No</b>	
Did the study involve the administration of radioactive substances, therefore requiring ARSAC review, OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		<b>No</b>	
Did the study involve the use of research exposures to ionising radiation (not involving the administration of radioactive substances) OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		<b>No</b>	
Did the study involve adults lacking capacity OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		<b>No</b>	
Did the study involve access to confidential patient information outside the direct care team without consent OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		<b>No</b>	
Did the study involve prisoners or young offenders who are in custody or supervised by the probation service OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		<b>No</b>	
Did the study involve children OR does the amendment introduce this?:	Yes		<b>No</b>	
Did the study involve NHS/HSC organisations prior to this amendment?:	<b>Yes</b>		No	
Did the study involve non-NHS/HSC organisations OR does the amendment introduce them?:	Yes		<b>No</b>	
	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland
Lead nation for the study:	<b>Yes</b>	No	No	No
Which nations had participating NHS/HSC organisations prior to this amendment?:	<b>Yes</b>	No	No	No
Which nations will have participating NHS/HSC organisations after this amendment?:	<b>Yes</b>	No	No	No
Was this a "single site, self sponsored" study in England or Wales prior to this amendment?:	Yes		<b>No</b>	

**Section 2: Summary of change(s)**

**Please note:** Each change being made as part of the amendment must be entered separately. For example, if an amendment to a clinical trial of an investigational medicinal product (CTIMP) involves an update to the Investigator's Brochure (IB), affecting the Reference Safety Information (RSI) and so the information documents to be given to participants, these should be entered into the Amendment Tool as three separate changes. A list of all possible changes is available on the "Glossary of Amendment Options" tab. To add another change, click the "Add another change" box.

Change 1				
Area of change (select)*:	Study Design			
Specific change (select - only available when area of change is selected first)*:	Inclusion/exclusion criteria - Minor change unlikely to affect safety or scientific value of study			
Further information (free text - note that this field will adapt to the amount of text entered):	Inclusion criteria of two years post partum extended to three years. There was no indication that there was any difference between women who had given birth three years prior to those two years prior.			
Applicability:	England	Wales	Scotland	Northern Ireland
Where are the participating NHS/HSC organisations located that will be affected by this change?*	Yes	No	No	No
Will all participating NHS/HSC organisations be affected by this change, or only some? ( <b>please note</b> that this answer may affect the categorisation for the change):	All		Some	
Add another change				

**Section 3: Declaration(s) and lock for submission**

**Declaration by the Sponsor or authorised delegate**

- I confirm that the Sponsor takes responsibility for the completed amendment tool
- I confirm that I have been formally authorised by the Sponsor to complete the amendment tool on their behalf

Name [first name and surname]*:	Carol Cornelius
Email address*:	rgea.amend@admin.ox.ac.uk

**Lock for submission**

**Please note:** This button will only become available when all mandatory (\*) fields have been completed. When the button is available, clicking it will generate a locked PDF copy of the completed amendment tool which must be included in the amendment submission. Please ensure that the amendment tool is completed correctly before locking it for submission.

**Lock for submission**

After locking the tool, [proceed to submit the amendment online](#). The "Submission Guidance" tab provides further information about the next steps for the amendment.

**Section 4: Review bodies for the amendment**

**Please note:** This section is for **information only**. Details in this section will complete automatically based on the options selected in Sections 1 and 2.

	Review bodies																Category:		
	UK wide:						England and Wales:				Scotland:			Northern Ireland:					
	REC	Competent Authority MHRA - Medicines	Competent Authority MHRA - Devices	ARSA	Radiation Assurance	UKSW Governance	REC (MCA)	CAG	HMPPS	HRA and HCRW Approval	REC (AWIA)	PBPP	SPS (RAEC)	National coordinating function	HSC REC	HSC Data Guardians		Prisons	National coordinating function
Change 1:						(Y)				(Y)									A
Overall reviews for the amendment:																			
Full review:						N				N									
Notification only:						Y				Y									
Overall amendment type:	Non-substantial, no study-wide review required																		
Overall Category:	A																		

